Infrared variability of BL Lacertae
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Abstract. In this paper, the historic light curves of BL Lacertae in the infrared ($JHK$) bands have been constructed from the published literature. Maximum variations of $\Delta J = 2''929$, $\Delta H = 2''42$, and $\Delta K = 2''93$ and average color indices of $J - H = 0.78 \pm 0.11$, $H - K = 0.78 \pm 0.12$, and $J - K = 1.55 \pm 0.13$ have been found. The relation between the color index and the brightness of the source and the correlation of color-color indices have been investigated. Our analysis shows that there is no clear correlation between the color index and the brightness, and the emission mechanism is non-thermal in the near-infrared.
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1. Introduction

BL Lacertae objects are extremely active galactic nuclei (AGNs) showing rapid and large variations, high and variable polarization, having no or weak ($EW < 5 \, \text{Å}$) emission lines. In the last catalogue of active galaxies Véron-Cetty & Véron (1996) compiled 220 BL Lac objects. BL Lac objects are violently variable not only in the optical (see Takalo et al. 1996 for the monitoring group: Florid group (Pica et al. 1980), Hamburg group (Schramm et al. 1994), Chinese group (Xie et al. 1994), Tuorla observation (Sillanpaa et al. 1991; Takalo et al. 1992) but also in the radio, infrared, UV, X-ray, and $\gamma$-ray bands (Tornikoski et al. 1991; Takalo et al. 1996). It is one of the best-studied objects in the optical and radio bands. Superluminal radio components have been observed (Vermeulen & Cohen 1994; Fan et al. 1996). The optical and radio emissions are both variable and polarized, and the radio and optical polarizations are correlated (Sitko et al. 1985). The maximum polarizations obtained in the radio, infrared and optical bands are $P_{\text{rad}} = 10.0\%$ (Gabadze et al. 1989), $P_{\text{IR}} = 15.1\%$ (Impey et al. 1984), and $P_{\text{Opt.}} = 23\%$ (Angel & Stockman 1980) respectively. Recently, observations taken with EGRET on the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory (CGRO) between 1995 January 24 and 1995 February 14 indicate a flux of $(40 \pm 12) \times 10^{-8} \, \text{photon/cm}^2/\text{s}$ above 100 MeV (Catanese et al. 1997), but there is no evidence of gamma rays in Whipple observations (Kerrick et al. 1995; Quinn et al. 1995). BL Lac has been observed in the optical bands for about 100 years (Fan et al. 1998). Webb et al. (1988) constructed its historic optical light curve. Very recently we...
have discussed its periodicity and found a 14-year period from the £ band light curve (Fan et al. 1998). BL Lacertae has been observed in the infrared since the beginning of the 1970s, but no long-term infrared properties have been discussed in the literature. In this paper, we will mainly study the variability and discuss the properties in the infrared. The paper has been arranged as follows: in Sect. 2, we give the bibliography of the data; in Sect. 3, we discuss them.

2. Infrared variability

2.1. Data

BL Lacertae has been observed in the near-infrared bands (£, H, and K) for about 20 years. The data from the literature listed in Table 1 and the 38 K band data derived from the paper of Soifer & Neugebauer (1980) are discussed in this paper. Table 1 gives the observer(s) in Col. 1; the number of data points in Col. 2, and the telescope(s) used in Col. 3.

2.2. Variations

BL Lacertae is located at $b = -10^\circ$ and thus has a reddening due to our own galaxy. According to the model of Sandage (1972), $A_V = 0.165(1.192 - \tan b) \csc b$, for $|b| \leq 50^\circ$, we have $A_V = 0.97$. Following the reddening curve (Cruz-Gonzalez & Huchra 1984, see also Whitford 1958): $A(\lambda) = A_V(0.11\lambda^{-1} + 0.65\lambda^{-3} - 0.35\lambda^{-4}$, we can get $A_J = 0.267$ mag, $A_H = 0.158$ mag, and $A_K = 0.092$ mag. After the correction, we got the infrared (£, H, and K bands) light curves and show them in Fig. 1. The largest infrared amplitude of variability in the £, H, and K bands: $\Delta J = 2^m 29(10.47 - 12.76)$, $\Delta H = 2^m 42(9.60 - 12.02)$, $\Delta K = 2^m 93(8.47 - 11.30)$ have been obtained from the available data. There is no correlation between color index and brightness; although there is some tendency of $J - H$ increasing with $J$, it is far from being conclusive (see Figs. 2a-c).

For color indices, we have got strong correlations of $J - H$ vs. $J - K$ and $J - K$ vs. $H - K$: $J - K = (1.15 \pm 0.02)(J - H) + 0.68 \pm 0.01$ with a Spearman Rank Correlation coefficient of $r = 0.702$ and a probability of the correlation having occurred by chance $p = 1.3 \times 10^{-8}$; $H - K = (0.57 \pm 0.003)(J - K) - 0.12 \pm 0.01$ with $r = 0.796$ and $p = 1.4 \times 10^{-12}$; but no correlation was found for $J - H$ vs. $H - K$ (see Figs. 2d-f). We also found that the average values of color indices are $J - H = 0.78 \pm 0.11$ (65 data), $H - K = 0.78 \pm 0.12$ (71 data), and $J - K = 1.55 \pm 0.13$ (63 data). One set of data $J = 11.56$, $H = 11.72$, and $K = 10.26$ (Sitko et al. 1983) are not included in Fig. 2 because the color index of $J - H = 0.23$ is much lower than the average value. If we only consider the data with known apertures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observer(s)</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Telescope(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epstein et al. (1972)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100-inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knacke et al. (1976)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PKBT 2.1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Dell et al. (1977)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UM/UCSD 1.5 m, 9”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Dell et al. (1978)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>UM/UCSD 1.5 m, 9”, 18”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puschell &amp; Stein (1980)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>UM/UCSD 1.5 m, 18”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen et al. (1982)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UKIRT 3.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore et al. (1982)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>UKIRT 3.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impey (1983)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hawaii 2.2 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitko et al. (1983)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>UM/UCSD 1.5 m, 9”, 18”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puschell et al. (1983)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UM/UCSD 1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impey et al. (1984)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>UKIRT 3.8 m, 10”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes et al. (1984)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>UKIRT 3.8 m, 10”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear et al. (1985)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>UKIRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. (1987)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>KNPO 2.1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al. (1989)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>UKIRT 3.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bregman et al. (1990)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>UKIRT 3.8 m; Hale 5.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mead et al. (1990)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>UKIRT 3.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawai et al. (1991)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>TCS 1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitko &amp; Sitko (1991)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>KNPO 1.3 m &amp; 1.5 m 6”, 11”, 12”, 23”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takalo et al. (1992)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TCS 1.5 m, 15”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear (1993)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>UKIRT, 20”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichfield et al. (1994)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ESO 2.2 m, 12”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al. (1994)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BAO 1.26 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. a) The long-term £ light curve of BL Lacertae. b) The long-term H light curve. c) The long-term K light curve, some early data are derived from the paper of Soifer & Neugebauer (1980)
Fig. 2. a) Plot of J against J − H; b) Plot of J against H − K; c) Plot of J against J − K; d) Color-Color Plot of J − H vs. H − K; e) Color-Color Plot of J − H vs. J − K. The straight line is for the best fit line; f) Color-Color Plot of J − K vs. H − K. The straight line is for the best fit line

and make the aperture correction according to the method of Sandage (1972), there is no correlation between color index and magnitude or between J − H and H − K either.

3. Discussion

The largest observed amplitude of variability in the infrared bands are \( \Delta J = 2\,^{m}.29 \), \( \Delta H = 2\,^{m}.42 \), and \( \Delta K = 2\,^{m}.93 \). During the same period the largest observed amplitude of variability in the optical bands are: \( \Delta U = 3\,^{m}.39(14.58 - 17.97) \), \( \Delta B = 3\,^{m}.70(14.29 - 17.99) \), \( \Delta V = 3\,^{m}.11(13.62 - 16.73) \), \( \Delta R = 2\,^{m}.73(13.26 - 15.99) \), \( \Delta I = 2\,^{m}.54(12.56 - 15.10) \), which are slightly greater than that in the infrared. That result could be due to a larger contamination in the near-infrared by the underlying galaxy or to a better sampling of the light curve in the optical band. BL Lac is rapidly variable in the optical: by a factor of 2.5 over a time scale of 26 hours (Veron 1978), 1\(^{m}.0\) over about one hour (Weistrop & Goldsmith 1973), 0\(^{m}.5\) over a few minutes (Weistrop 1973), daily variation as great as 0\(^{m}.3\) (Caswell et al. 1974), and 0\(^{m}.56\) over a short time scale of 40 minutes in the B band (Xie et al. 1988); intraday variability of 0\(^{m}.21\) (Heidt & Wagner 1995), a variability by a factor of 2.6 over a time scale of 24 hours was observed in the K band (Impey et al. 1984). Rapid variation in the infrared flux favors a nonthermal mechanism over thermal emission by dust (Cruz-Gonzalez & Huchra 1984). For 3C 66A, De Diego et al. (1997) found that if the largest flux in the infrared is due to thermal processes, then the peak flux suggests that the emitting region is close to light months in diameter while the observed time scales of variability is much shorter. So violent variations in the infrared of BL Lacertae mean that the IR radiation cannot be thermal. In addition, high infrared polarization \( P_{IR} = 15.1\% \) reported in the H band (Impey et al. 1984) suggests the infrared emission is non-thermal.

As for the spectrum and the brightness of the object, although there is a correlation between \( B-I \) and \( B: B = (1.31 \pm 0.02)(B-I) + (13.03 \pm 0.1) \) with a correlation coefficient \( r = 0.67 \) (Fan et al. 1998), there is almost no similar correlation in the infrared, which is probably due to the effect of the underlying galaxy diluting this kind of correlation.

There is a strong correlation between \( J-H \) vs. \( J - K \) and \( J - K \) vs. \( H - K \), but there is almost no correlation between \( J-H \) and \( H-K \): \( J - K = (1.15 \pm 0.02)(J - H) + 0.70 \pm 0.02, H - K = (0.57 \pm 0.003)(J - K) - 0.16 \pm 0.01 \). Other radio selected BL Lac objects (0219+428, 0422+004, 0735+178, 0829+046 and 1418+546) (Massaro...
et al. 1995) show similar properties. They show strong color-color correlations both for $J - H$ and $J - K$ and for $J - K$ and $H - K$, but not for $J - H$ and $H - K$. We think that one of the reasons is probably due to the fact that $J - K$ has a wider distribution than $J - H$ and $H - K$. That $J - H$ and $H - K$ concentrate in a small region dilutes the correlation; another reason probably comes from the fact that the spectrum deviates from the power-law.

The long-term (about 20 years) infrared light curves have been presented, there are strong correlations between $J - K$ and $J - H$ as well as $H - K$. The infrared emission is nonthermal.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Pandeng Project of China.

References

Biraud F., Véron P., 1968, Nat 219, 254
Cruz-Gonzalez I., Huchra J.P., 1984, AJ 89, 441
Fan J.H., 1993, Ph.D. Thesis, Yunnan Astronomic Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences
Gower J.F.R., 1969, Nat 224, 509
Quinn J., et al., 1995, in Prof. 24th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. (Rome) 2, 369
Soifer B.T., Neugebauer G., 1980, IAU Sympos. 90, 329
Urry C.M., et al., 1995, in Prof. 24th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. (Rome) 2, 369
Weisstron J., 1973, Nat 241, 157