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Abstract. We present observations of the region between −45° ≤ ℓ ≤ −10° and |b| ≤ 3° in the OH 1612.231 MHz line, taken in 1994 January with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA)¹. These observations are part of a larger survey, covering |ℓ| ≤ 45° and |b| ≤ 3°, with the ATCA and the Very Large Array. In a previous article we have discussed the observational strategy in detail. In this paper we present only the objects found in this part of the survey. The region was systematically searched for OH-maser emission and was covered completely with 910 pointing centres separated by 30′. We found 202 OH-masing objects, 112 of which are new detections. Out of the 202 objects, 166 have a standard double–peaked spectral profile, 32 a single–peaked profile and 4 objects have non–standard or irregular profiles.

In this article we analyse the data statistically and give classifications and identifications with known sources where possible. The astrophysical, kinematical, morphological and dynamical properties of subsets of the data will be addressed in future articles².
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1. Introduction

We have surveyed a large area of the galactic plane in the OH 1612 MHz satellite line. The aim of the survey was to sample the stellar dynamics in the plane, cutting through the major components of the Galaxy. Very strong radiation is emitted in the observed OH line by, amongst others, OH/IR stars (for a review see Habing 1996). These are particularly suitable tracers of the stellar dynamics for a variety of reasons. Most important is that they are easily observable in any region of the Galaxy, their line–of–sight velocity can be determined very accurately and they represent a large range of the stellar population. This article is the sequel of a previous article (Sevenster et al. 1996, Paper I), that we refer to for a detailed description of the survey, the objects and the reductional strategy. In Paper I we discussed the region between |ℓ| ≤ 10° and |b| ≤ 3°, the “Bulge region”. Here we discuss the results of the connecting region, between −45° ≤ ℓ ≤ −10° and |b| ≤ 3°, the “Disk region”. We will not give details of the observations, reduction and analysis, but mention only the most important parameters and those that differ significantly for the two regions of the survey, such as precise completeness levels and velocity coverage. We will discuss the observations briefly in Sect. 2 and the data reduction in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we give the results of the survey in the Disk region and in Sect. 5 a statistical analysis of the data, along the same lines as that in Paper I.

2. Observations

The observations were taken with the Australia Telescope Compact Array in 1994 January and September in 6A–configuration. The resolution is 6″ in right ascension and ∼10″ in declination. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the primary beam (PB) of the antennae at the frequency of the observations is 29′.7. The area of the Disk region was covered with 910 pointing
centres, separated by 30'. The coverage is complete for offset PBR (0.25). For observational convenience, the observations were taken in two "blocks"; one for \( \ell \geq -20^\circ \) (part B) and one for \( \ell \leq -20^\circ \) (part A) as can be seen in Fig. 1. In part A the pointing centres are not distributed in the honeycomb pattern described in Paper I, but rather in a rectangular pattern, for reasons of temporary observational incompetence. The data were taken in two linear polarizations, using a total bandwidth of 4 MHz and 1024 spectral channels (channel separation 3.9 kHz, correlator frequency resolution 4.69 kHz). The spectral band was centred at 1612 MHz, offset by 0.231 MHz from the rest frequency of the OH transition. No Doppler tracking was used during the observations. The velocity coverage is \((-295, +413 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) for all fields except those with \(b = 0^\circ\) in part A, for which the coverage is \((-300, +379 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) because they were partly observed in September. The data were Hanning smoothed to give a velocity resolution of 1.46 km s\(^{-1}\) (7.8 kHz). The observations were taken using a “mosaic” mode, to optimize uv-sampling (for details see Paper I). The secondary calibrators observed in the Disk region were 1740–517 (part B) and 1414–590 (part A). The primary calibrators are the same as in the Bulge region, 1934–638 and/or 0823–500. The average integration time per pointing is 9 min, resulting in an average noise level in empty cubes of 29.6 mJy.

3. Data reduction

The data were reduced as described in Paper I, using the reduction package Miriad (Sault et al. 1995). The data were searched with an adapted version of the CLEAN algorithm, fully described in Appendix A of Paper I. This was performed on a Cray–C98. During the observations for the Disk region, especially its parts furthest away from the galactic Centre, considerable narrow–band radio–frequency interference (RFI) was present. To minimize this, we performed two steps of removal of RFI. Before any searching of the data we automatically traced and flagged any time–cuts that contained RFI spikes. After removal of the brightest sources, we fitted 11th–order polynomials (UVLIN routine in Miriad, Sault 1994, see Paper I) to all visibilities in order to remove wide–band RFI. In part B of the Disk region hardly any narrow–band RFI was found present, however, in part A severe narrow–band RFI was present in \(\sim 20\%\) of the fields. After flagging the time–cuts with RFI, the serviceable integration time on these fields was reduced on average by \(\sim 30\%\) (see Fig. 1). Still, even in the fields with shortest integration times, the integration times are similar to those in the Bulge region (Paper I). The source–finding algorithm is as described in the appendix of Paper I. The lowest level of searching was performed with minimum flux density of 90 mJy. The cell sizes used are \(5'' \times 10''\) in the first two passes of source finding and \(2''5 \times 5''\) in subsequent passes.

4. Results

In Table 1 all narrow–line OH sources found are listed. In total there are 202 sources, 90 of which have been identified with known OH–satellite–line masers. The references for previous OH detections are given in Table 2. We visually identified 166 double–peaked (D), 32 single–peaked (S) and 4 irregular (I) sources. A reliable IRAS identification is found for 151 sources. For each source the table gives an entry number (Col. 1), the OH \(\ell – b\) name (Col. 2), a type (D, S, I) identifier (Col. 3), position in J2000 coordinates (Cols. 4, 5), a measure of the error in the positions (Col. 6), the distance from the source to the pointing centre (Col. 7), the peak, stellar and outflow velocities (Cols. 8 to 11), the peak fluxes (Cols. 12, 13), the noise in the field where the source was detected (Col. 14, velocity resolution 1.46 km s\(^{-1}\)), the number of the reference to previous observations if applicable (Col. 15), the name of the nearest IRAS point source (Col. 16) and the distance to this nearest IRAS point source expressed as a fraction of the corresponding IRAS error ellipse (Col. 17). Table 1 can be retrieved from the WWW (http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/Abstract.html).

In Fig. 2 the longitude–latitude diagram and longitude–velocity diagramm are shown for all 202 sources. The spectra for all sources are shown in Fig. 6. They are
Fig. 2. The longitude–latitude and longitude–velocity distributions for all the sources in the galactic Disk region.

Fig. 3. The histograms of the line–of–sight velocity and the expansion velocity of all the sources in the galactic Disk region. The mean expansion velocity is 15 km s$^{-1}$.
The expected relation between PBR and flux density PBR bins of width 0.1. The dashed line in Fig. 4b indicates the expected relation between PBR and flux density.

The solid line connects stars at 0.25 of the global maximum. The absolute detection level in the searching routine was set to 90 mJy (cf. 120 mJy in Bulge region), corresponding to three times the average noise level. Using the absolute cut-off of 140 mJy (Fig. 4b), we integrate the relation shown in Fig. 4d from the largest offset possible for a source with a certain flux density.

The completeness of the survey as a function of offset, plotted in Fig. 4d. From this distribution, together with the information from Figs. 4a,b, we derive the relative completeness of the survey as a function of offset, plotted in Fig. 4d.

The completeness versus flux density does not rise as steeply as the best fit to the extremes in the solid line. As in Paper I, we find that this is 4 times the typical noise of 35 mJy (cf. 40 mJy Bulge region). This 4σ cut-off is a global statistic, i.e. in terms of the average noise and global minimum flux density. Locally, i.e. taking the noise and the minimum flux density per field, the cut-off is at 6σ as can be seen in Fig. 4f, where we plotted the SNR for all objects with SNR < 40 against their offset. This does not alter the validity of using 140 mJy as the globally limiting, observed flux density. This part of the survey is therefore theoretically complete for flux densities above 140 mJy / 0.25 is 560 mJy (cf. 500 mJy for the Bulge region).

In Fig. 4c we plot the cumulative flux density distributions for the detected sources with PBR > 0.8 (solid line) and, for comparison, with PBR < 0.6 (dashed line). We estimate that the survey was essentially complete for PBR > 0.8 and take the solid line in Fig. 4c as an approximation to the intrinsic cumulative flux density distribution for the OH/IR stars in the present sample. From this distribution, together with the information from Figs. 4a,b, we derive the relative completeness of the survey as a function of offset, plotted in Fig. 4d.

Using the absolute cut-off of 140 mJy (Fig. 4b), we integrate the relation shown in Fig. 4d from the largest offset possible for a source with a certain flux density inward to offset 0′, normalized with the exact area of the PB at a certain offset. This yields the completeness of the survey as a function of flux density (Fig. 4e). From Fig. 4e, we find an empirical completeness of 99% at 500 mJy and 80% for sources brighter than 275 mJy (cf. 300 mJy for the Bulge). The curve of completeness versus flux density does not rise as steeply as that for the Bulge sources.

Summarizing, this data set is 99% complete for flux densities higher than 500 mJy and has an absolute cut-off at 140 mJy. The completeness levels are very close to those in the Bulge region, with the main differences in low flux densities at small (!) offsets, despite different observational parameters.

5.2. Positions

The treatment of the positional errors is the same as described in Paper I and we refer to it for details (see in particular its Fig. 5). The errors are of the order of 0′′.5, with the biggest contribution in the declination. The entry Δ in Table 1, Col. 6 gives a high upper limit to the positional errors (see Paper I). The average value of Δ in Table 1 is 0′′.65. The sources with highest values of Δ are in general the brightest ones.

3 The reason for this apparent controversy is that the average surface density in this sample is only 0.9 stars per square degree (cf. 2.4 in the Bulge). Therefore, the statistical fluctuations are larger and there were simply no faint stars observed in the inner regions of the PB.

5. Data analysis

In this section we treat the global completeness of the sample. The absolute detection level in the searching routine was set to 90 mJy (cf. 120 mJy in Bulge region), corresponding to three times the average noise level. Figure 4a shows the primary beam response (PBR) at 18 cm as a function of offset (Wieringa & Kesteven 1992). For inner fields, the largest possible offset for a source is 21′ (cf. 19′ for the Bulge region), which coincides with the half width at 0.25 of the global maximum.

Figure 4b shows the PBR (from Fig. 4a) of each source against its peak flux density. The solid line connects stars with the lowest detected OH flux densities, determined in PBR bins of width 0.1. The dashed line in Fig. 4b indicates the expected relation between PBR and flux density cut-off for an absolute detection limit of 140 mJy, which is the best fit to the extremes in the solid line. As in Paper I, we find that this is 4 times the typical noise of 35 mJy (cf. 40 mJy Bulge region). This 4σ cut-off is a global statistic, i.e. in terms of the average noise and global minimum flux density. Locally, i.e. taking the noise and the minimum flux density per field, the cut-off is at 6σ as can be seen in Fig. 4f, where we plotted the SNR for all objects with SNR < 40 against their offset. This does not alter the validity of using 140 mJy as the globally limiting, observed flux density. This part of the survey is therefore theoretically complete for flux densities above 140 mJy / 0.25 is 560 mJy (cf. 500 mJy for the Bulge region).

In Fig. 4c we plot the cumulative flux density distributions for the detected sources with PBR > 0.8 (solid line) and, for comparison, with PBR < 0.6 (dashed line). We estimate that the survey was essentially complete for PBR > 0.8 and take the solid line in Fig. 4c as an approximation to the intrinsic cumulative flux density distribution for the OH/IR stars in the present sample. From this distribution, together with the information from Figs. 4a,b, we derive the relative completeness of the survey as a function of offset, plotted in Fig. 4d.

Using the absolute cut-off of 140 mJy (Fig. 4b), we integrate the relation shown in Fig. 4d from the largest offset possible for a source with a certain flux density inward to offset 0′, normalized with the exact area of the PB at a certain offset. This yields the completeness of the survey as a function of flux density (Fig. 4e). From Fig. 4e, we find an empirical completeness of 99% at 500 mJy and 80% for sources brighter than 275 mJy (cf. 300 mJy for the Bulge). The curve of completeness versus flux density does not rise as steeply as that for the Bulge sources.

Summarizing, this data set is 99% complete for flux densities higher than 500 mJy and has an absolute cut-off at 140 mJy. The completeness levels are very close to those in the Bulge region, with the main differences in low flux densities at small (!) offsets, despite different observational parameters.

5.1. Survey completeness

5.1.1. Noise levels

The empirical noise in the empty cubes in the Disk region, especially part A, is more variable than in the Bulge region, because RFI was more prominent (see Sect. 3). In Fig. 1 we show the noise levels for each pointing. The average noise is 29.6 mJy; 90% of the fields have noise levels below 35 mJy. There are three fields with noise levels higher than explicable by high system temperatures or short integration times alone, where the higher noise was due to temporary correlator errors.

5.1.2. Detection levels

In this section we treat the global completeness of the sample. The absolute detection level in the searching routine was set to 90 mJy (cf. 120 mJy in Bulge region), corresponding to three times the average noise level. Figure 4a shows the primary beam response (PBR) at 18 cm as a function of offset (Wieringa & Kesteven 1992). For inner fields, the largest possible offset for a source is 21′ (cf. 19′ for the Bulge region), which coincides with the half width at 0.25 of the global maximum.

Figure 4b shows the PBR (from Fig. 4a) of each source against its peak flux density. The solid line connects stars with the lowest detected OH flux densities, determined in PBR bins of width 0.1. The dashed line in Fig. 4b indicates the expected relation between PBR and flux density cut-off for an absolute detection limit of 140 mJy, which is the best fit to the extremes in the solid line. As in Paper I, we find that this is 4 times the typical noise of 35 mJy (cf. 40 mJy Bulge region). This 4σ cut-off is a global statistic, i.e. in terms of the average noise and global minimum flux density. Locally, i.e. taking the noise and the minimum flux density per field, the cut-off is at 6σ as can be seen in Fig. 4f, where we plotted the SNR for all objects with SNR < 40 against their offset. This does not alter the validity of using 140 mJy as the globally limiting, observed flux density. This part of the survey is therefore theoretically complete for flux densities above 140 mJy / 0.25 is 560 mJy (cf. 500 mJy for the Bulge region).

In Fig. 4c we plot the cumulative flux density distributions for the detected sources with PBR > 0.8 (solid line) and, for comparison, with PBR < 0.6 (dashed line). We estimate that the survey was essentially complete for PBR > 0.8 and take the solid line in Fig. 4c as an approximation to the intrinsic cumulative flux density distribution for the OH/IR stars in the present sample. From this distribution, together with the information from Figs. 4a,b, we derive the relative completeness of the survey as a function of offset, plotted in Fig. 4d.

Using the absolute cut-off of 140 mJy (Fig. 4b), we integrate the relation shown in Fig. 4d from the largest offset possible for a source with a certain flux density inward to offset 0′, normalized with the exact area of the PB at a certain offset. This yields the completeness of the survey as a function of flux density (Fig. 4e). From Fig. 4e, we find an empirical completeness of 99% at 500 mJy and 80% for sources brighter than 275 mJy (cf. 300 mJy for the Bulge). The curve of completeness versus flux density does not rise as steeply as that for the Bulge sources.

Summarizing, this data set is 99% complete for flux densities higher than 500 mJy and has an absolute cut-off at 140 mJy. The completeness levels are very close to those in the Bulge region, with the main differences in low flux densities at small (!) offsets, despite different observational parameters.
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The treatment of the positional errors is the same as described in Paper I and we refer to it for details (see in particular its Fig. 5). The errors are of the order of 0′′.5, with the biggest contribution in the declination. The entry Δ in Table 1, Col. 6 gives a high upper limit to the positional errors (see Paper I). The average value of Δ in Table 1 is 0′′.65. The sources with highest values of Δ are in general the brightest ones.

3 The reason for this apparent controversy is that the average surface density in this sample is only 0.9 stars per square degree (cf. 2.4 in the Bulge). Therefore, the statistical fluctuations are larger and there were simply no faint stars observed in the inner regions of the PB.
Fig. 4. Representation of the completeness of the data. 

a) The primary beam response (PBR) of the ATCA antennae at 18 cm, as a function of radial offset from the pointing centre, taken from Wieringa & Kesteven (1992). The offset labelled “max” indicates the offset to which the measured flux density of a genuine source is always higher than the flux density measured for a “ghost” image of that source.

b) The PBR calculated for the detected sources plotted against the highest peak–OH flux densities, corrected for primary beam attenuation. The solid line indicates the lowest flux densities detected for PBR bins of width 0.1. The dashed line indicates the expected inner boundary calculated from the PBR curve in (a) for a limiting flux density of 140 mJy (chosen to fit observed extremes).

c) The cumulative flux density distribution for stars with PBR values > 0.8 (solid line) and < 0.6 (dashed line). The solid line is taken to be the intrinsic OH flux density distribution for the sources in the survey.

d) The completeness, relative to the pointing centres, of the survey as a function of position offsets from the field centres. An offset of 15′ corresponds to half the distance between nearest fields in a row of constant latitude (Fig. 1); 26.5 is the largest observed offset in the survey.

e) The completeness of the sample as a function of flux density. The offset out to which a source with certain flux density can be observed is determined from the dotted line in (b). Then we integrate the completeness given in (d) from that offset to zero, normalizing with the surface of the PB.

f) The SNR for all sources with SNR lower than 40, plotted against their radial offset from the pointing centre. The dashed line shows the (observed) lower limit for the SNR at a certain offset.

5.3. Flux densities

The flux densities given in Table 1 are measured in the channel of the highest peak. They are necessarily valid only for the velocity resolution of 1.46 km s⁻¹. The treatment of the errors in the flux densities is described in detail in Paper I. There is a systematic error of about −5%, arising from interpolation of the frequency channels into velocity channels, and an additional random error of about 5%.

5.4. Velocities

The typical error for all velocities given in Table 1 (Cols. 8-11) is 1 km s⁻¹ (see Paper I). The velocity coverage of this part of the survey was virtually constant (see Sect. 2). Therefore the band–edge effects discussed in Paper I are...
of no importance here, all the more because no detections were made at velocities lower than \(-200\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) or higher than \(270\ \text{km s}^{-1}\). Since we are sampling the Disk of the Galaxy in this region of the sky the velocities are much more confined to a narrow range due to regular rotation (Fig. 3).

5.5. IRAS identifications

In Col. 16 the nearest point source (PS) from the IRAS point–source catalogue (PSC) is given, together with, in Col. 17, the distance from the OH position in Cols. 3, 4 to the position of that IRAS PS expressed in fractional radii of the IRAS error ellipse in the direction of the OH position (see Paper I). Of the 202 sources, 151 (75%) have an IRAS PS counterpart within the IRAS error ellipse \((N < 1)\) and the average distance for all sources from OH position to IRAS position is \(30'\). The fraction of sources with good IRAS identification is slightly higher and the average distance from OH– to IRAS position is slightly smaller than for the sources in Paper I. Figure 5 shows the IRAS two–colour diagram for sources with an IRAS identification, that lies within the IRAS error ellipse and has reliable IRAS colours. Features in this diagram will be discussed in future articles. However, we note that the Disk sources are much more confined to the so–called evolutionary track as defined by van der Veen & Habing (1988).

![Fig. 5. The IRAS two–colour diagram for sources with an IRAS identification lying within the IRAS error ellipse (Col. 17, \(N \leq 1\)) with well–determined IRAS 12, 25 and 60 \(\mu\)m flux densities (i.e. no upper limits). The colours are defined as \([12] - [25] = 2.5 \times 10^5 \log(S_{25}/S_{12})\).](image)

5.6. OH identifications

The references given in Col. 15 are to previously known OH 1612 MHz maser positions. They were determined with the SIMBAD database, searching for sources with OH–catalogue names within one arcminute from the position in Col. 3, 4. The same conditions mentioned in Paper I apply; there is no “fool–proof” method of identification. Out of the 202 sources, 90 are found to have such an OH identification. Source #190 is known to be a planetary nebula (NGC 6302) and has been detected in OH bij Zijlstra et al. (1989) but does not appear with an OH name in standard catalogues and therefore does not have an entry in Col. 15. Sources #198 and #199 are re-detections from the Bulge region.

6. Summary

We have given the results of a survey of the region \(-45^\circ \leq \ell \leq -10^\circ\) and \(|b| \leq 3^\circ\) in the OH 1612.231 MHz maser line. The survey is 99% complete for sources brighter than 500 mJy and 80% complete for sources brighter than 275 mJy. The absolute flux density limit is 140 mJy. We have found 202 compact OH–maser sources, 112 of which are new detections. The sources are mainly OH/IR stars, with a few related sources, like planetary nebulae. The sources have positions accurate to \(0.5\), velocities accurate to \(1\ \text{km s}^{-1}\) and flux densities accurate to 5%. For 151 sources, an associated IRAS point source is found. All the statistical properties of this dataset, most importantly the completeness levels, are similar enough to the Bulge data set, for the two regions to be treated statistically as a single data set.
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Table 1. Compact OH–maser sources in the galactic Disk region.

The columns of Table 1 contain the following information:

1. Sequence number (coincident with spectra in Fig. 6)
2. Name in the OHℓ − β convention
3. Type indication
   * D = double–peaked spectrum
   * S = single–peaked spectrum
   * I = irregular spectrum.
4. Right ascension of the brightest peak for epoch J2000 (typical error 0′.25)
5. Declination of the brightest peak for epoch J2000 (typical error 0′.5)
6. Mean scatter of the position of the star in all channels where it was detected, in arcseconds on the sky (Sect. 5.2)
7. Radial offset of the source from pointing centre
8. Line–of–sight velocity with respect to the LSR of the blue–shifted (L) peak. For S and I spectra the velocity of the peak is always given as blue–shifted for reasons of tabulation (typical error 1 km s\(^{-1}\)).
9. Same for the red–shifted (H) peak (typical error 1 km s\(^{-1}\)).
10. Stellar velocity (typical error 1 km s\(^{-1}\)).
11. Outflow velocity; zero for S and I sources (typical error 1 km s\(^{-1}\)).
12. Flux density, corrected for primary–beam attenuation, of the blue–shifted (L) peak (typical error 5%).
13. Same for the red–shifted (H) peak (typical error 5%).
14. Empirical noise in all empty planes for the present field (Sect. 5.1, Fig. 1)
15. Reference for previous OH–maser detection (see Table 2 and Sect. 5.6)
16. Nearest IRAS PSC position (Sect. 5.5)
17. Ratio between the size of the error ellipse of the nearest IRAS point source and the distance to nearest IRAS PSC position in the direction of the OH position (Sect. 5.5)

Table 2. References for previous OH maser detections (Table 1, Col. 15)

- 01 te Lintel Hekkert et al. (1989)
- 02 Braz & Epchtein (1983)
- 03 Bowers & Knapp (1989)